Crookham Village Conservation Area Consultation Analysis of Responses

Crookham Village Conservation Area Summary of Comments - First 8 week consultation starting 29 June 2023

Page Reference	Comment	Response
Page 4	Page 4 – detail of the Street – why are all house names upside down	The map used on page 4 has now been replaced with one supplied by HDC which does not have building names.
Page 4	Page 4 – detail of the Street – The WI hall is marked as Club.	The map used on page 4 has now been replaced with one supplied by HDC which does not have building names.
Page 4 and 5	Include a map identifying the location of character areas	These maps were included in the draft for consultation on pages 4 and 5 but have now been revised to reduce the element of overlap.
Pages 4 & 5	Include a OS historic map to illustrate the area's development	Completed
Page 5	Include a map identifying the location of Listed Building Consents	This has not been done as the sheer volume of LBC's over the years would make this incomprehensible. This information is available is a more accessible format through the HDC Planning Portal
Page 5	Page 5 – Strangers Corner is labelled 'Corner'	The map used is an extract from Parish Online and the labelling of individual properties is not within the control of CVPC so this cannot be changed.
Page 6	Page 6 – characteristics – is Crondall Road not also materially linear	The section of Crondall Road that is covered by this conservation area designation lies between Crossways and Stroud Lane. This section of Crondall Road, particularly the section down Brook Hill, does not meet the criteria to be described as materially linear.
Page 7	I strongly believe that before this appraisal was appraised, the whole conservation area of Crookham Village within the Parish boundary should have been consolidated into just one conservation area. The excuses I was given at the consultation meeting were all rather thin and made me wonder what the Parish Council is afraid of in the short term. The proposed update document is inaccurate in parts and could be considered nosiness in others, particularly the picture No:7 (The more expansive views from the access road to Cross Farm).	A review of the remaining areas of Crookham Village that are not currently included in any of the three existing conservation areas in the Parish will be considered as part of a review of the boundaries of the current Crookham Village Conservation Area by Crookham Village Parish Council. The exact timescale for this work is not known at the present time but is likely to be timed to co-incide with a refresh of the Neighbourhood Plan. Both the Basingstoke Canal and Dogmersfield Conservation Areas include properties that lie within the Parish boundary. However, as both of these conservation areas are outside the control of Crookham Village Parish, it is extremely unlikely that any properties that currently lie within those conservation areas will be considered for inclusion in the Crookham Village Conservation Area.
Page 8	Page 8, section on 2.2 – what about the Exchequer ?	The Exchequer Public House lies within the Basingstoke Canal conservation area and so it outside the scope of this refresh of the Crookham Village conservation area.
Page 8	Page 8 – section 2.4 – is local gap still a current planning term	While the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) does not refer to Gaps and is not prescriptive on supporting or opposing gaps, it does state: "Local Plans should identify land where development would be inappropriate, for instance because of its environmental or historic significance;" NPPF paragraph 157, Bullet point 7. It is in this context that this terminology has been used in this section.
Page 8	Strengthen the summary of the significance of the conservation area	This has been added to this section

Page Reference	Comment	Response
Page 9	Page 9 – 'view towards the village – is this not in the midst of the village, more a view towards Crossways. The later view (page 16), refers to this as a gateway between the two character areas	The view on page 9 has been removed in the final version of the document.
Page 9	Page 9 – Section 3.0 – would benefit from pagination.	This has now been done in the final version of this document.
Page 9	Page 9 – section on 3.1 overview. Maybe replace CV has CHANGED with CV has EVOLVED	The suggested amendment has been considered but is not accepted.
Page 12	Page12 – Section 4.0 – would benefit from pagination.	This has now been done in the final version of this document.
Page 14	Page 14 – Significant views. Might this benefit from a simple table defining the views, before the more detailed discussion that follows	All the views have been included in Appendix 5 of the final version of the document and this is referred to in this section.
Page 14	Include footpath links to the countryside (with photographic evidence)	Completed
Page 15	Analyse boundary treatment, and what is considered positive or harmful - Boundary treatments are not covered in Character Area 2 at all but identified as a "challenge of the area". More is written about the traffic which isn't identified as a challenge.	This information has been added
Page 17	Page 17 – section on 5.6 – photo is too dark	This photograph has now been replaced with a brighter version.
Page 20	Identify the harm caused from the features identified as negative - Negative features are identified as detracting from the area but are covered very briefly with no justification as to the harm identified.	This information has been added
Page 20	Identification of the next steps such as reviewing the Article 4, drafting a management plan etc.	Completed
Appendices	Identify how the buildings/structures/view have been assessed in the appendices	This information has been added
Appendix A	a map identifying the location of buildings identified as positive contributors, other historic features and trees groups/spaces as well as more photos or illustrations.	As the majority of buildings in the conservation area make a positive contribution, it is not considered that the addition of maps showing this information would be of assistance.
Appendix A	Provide justification for the features identified as positive contributors	This information has been added
Appendix B	This view cannot be enjoyed from the public highway. Additionally, unless one was several metres above ground level, the view from eye level would just be a pair of 6' high Farm Gates that have existed for 50 years. The picture is therefore misleading and should be removed from the appraisal documents.	This comment is accepted and this view has been removed in the final version.
Appendix D	Your picture of 'Meadowside' quotes (1920's) whereas this and the adjoining property were built by a developer in the late 1950's or early 1960's on a plot of land the developer purchased from the then owners of Cross Farm. Your description of Cross Farm House should read 'part late 19C and part late 20C'.	The description of this property has been amended to reflect a later build date in the final version of this document.
Appendix D	App A - the description of Melrose villa is incorrect – render/roof tiles etc. – please could someone actually take a look at the building.	The property is described as being red brick and render with tile hanging and Roman roof tiles. An external examination of the property confirms that this description is correct.

Page Reference	Comment	Response
Appendix D	Nos 1/2/3 Sunnyside – some have "plastic" windows and the respondent believes that the buildings are Victorian and certainly older than Melrose Villa.	1/2/3 Sunnyside are described as Victorian in this appendix and are typical of late Victorian properties. While they are shown as being C20, it is possible that they are slightly earlier than that. According to the heritage statement for Melrose Villa accompanying a recent planning application, this property was built in the early 1900's and extensively modernised in the 1980's. This information has been confirmed by reference to a photograph of Melrose Villa and nos. 1 - 3 Sunnyside in a Fleet History Society photograph taken in the early 1900's.
Appendix D	App D – description of photo states there are "4" properties but there are only "3" shown.	While the photograph only shows 1 - 3 Sunnyside, this entry also includes Melrose Villa so the entry is correct in that there are 4 properties described.
Whole document	Very well constructed informative document highlighting the varied types of properties and important views throughout the old village confines. I note the fact that the Hart Valley has been included with the River Hart mentioned. Perhaps when the next neighbourhood plan is reviewed the areas of the old village not included in the current conservation area plan can be considered. The section of The Street up to Malthouse Bridge and the section of Crondall Road between Stroud Lane and Crookham Wharf. I know the latter part up to the Wharf is considered Basingstoke Canal conservation area if it were to be included in our own Conservation area it may negate inappropriate inclusion of a new roadway and future applications adjacent to the canal for access to an electrical storage unit. Thank you for your efforts.	Thanks you for your comments. A review of the remaining areas of Crookham Village that are not currently included in any of the three existing conservation areas in the Parish will be considered as part of a review of the boundaries of the current Crookham Village Conservation Area by Crookham Village Parish Council. The exact timescale for this work is not known at the present time but is likely to be timed to co-incide with a refresh of the Neighbourhood Plan. As the Basingstoke Canal Conservation Area is outside the control of Crookham Village Parish, it is extremely unlikely that any properties that currently lie within another conservation area, such as the Basingstoke Canal Conservation Area, will be considered for inclusion in the Crookham Village Conservation Area.
Whole document	Thank you for an informative and detailed report on the Crookham Village Conservation 2023 review. It is interesting to learn in more detail how the Village has developed over the last couple of hundred years or so. The various comments made about the importance of 'views' and 'gaps' in and around Crookham Village is interesting and of great importance-not only to immediate local residents, but also to residents just outside of the Crookham Village boundaries. The continuation and importance of these gaps and views should be respected for the foreseeable future. At an appropriate time in the future, it would perhaps be sensible to add to the 'Conservation Area' the whole of Crondall Rd and The Street.	Thanks you for your comments. A review of the remaining areas of Crookham Village that are not currently included in any of the three existing conservation areas in the Parish will be considered as part of a review of the boundaries of the current Crookham Village Conservation Area by Crookham Village Parish Council. The exact timescale for this work is not known at the present time but is likely to be timed to co-incide with a refresh of the Neighbourhood Plan. As the Basingstoke Canal Conservation Area is outside the control of Crookham Village Parish, it is extremely unlikely that any properties that currently lie within another conservation area, such as the Basingstoke Canal Conservation Area, will be considered for inclusion in the Crookham Village Conservation Area.
Whole document	I attended the Meeting regarding the Conservation Area Document which was held in June. It is a comprehensive document which has been expertly drawn up, and I appreciate all the work and detail which has been entailed. It is very interesting to read and will remain an extremely valuable document of reference into the future. With Many Thanks to all who have been involved in producing the Appraisal.	Thank you for your comment - they are much appreciated
Whole document	I attended the Crookham Village Appraisal presentation in June, which was very helpful, and wish to express my appreciation for all the many hours of work which have been given to the Document. It is extremely well presented, in thorough detail, and I am sure that it will prove to be of great assistance, and a valuable reference, into the future when any type of development might be contemplated. With Many Thanks to all who have been involved in producing the Appraisal.	Thank you for your comment - they are much appreciated

Page Reference	Comment	Response
Whole document	The respondent said she had found it very difficult to access the document on line.	We are sorry that the respondent found it difficult to access the document online and have taken steps to ensure that this is not repeated in the second consultation.
Whole document	Analyse the built character, with detailed discussion on building types, materials and details, and other characteristic features that would lead onto the issues in Section 8 'Challenges and opportunities' - For example, "Loss of architectural features" (8.1) is identified as a challenge, but the architectural features that should be preserved are not identified.	This has been done as far as considered necessary for planning purposes. An exhaustive list has not been included as it is not considered helpful as it cannot take into account the full circumstances of future planning applications for properties.
Whole document	Provide photographic evidence throughout the appraisal to support the text	Completed
Whole document	Replace views taken from drones, with those taken from the ground - Significant views are illustrated using drone images which do not demonstrate why the views identified are significant given the different viewpoint. (Although they are interesting photographs and could be used elsewhere in the document.)	Completed
Whole document	Ensure that the document is fully accessibility compatible	This has been partially done and will be completed once the document has been finalised

Crookham Village Conservation Area Summary of Comments - Second 4 week consultation starting 6 December 2023

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Page Reference	Comment	Response
Page 1	The front page shows "a conservation area" with 4 properties in the for ground being built late 20thC. Do we not have a more relevant view?	An alternative version of this view has now been used to demonstrate the importance of the open countryside setting of both Character Areas within the Crookham Village Conservation Area. This view excludes, as far as possible, any properties that lie outside the conservation area boundary.
Page 1	The front page photo highlights the rear of a number of homes and maybe doesn't promote the more public views, which characterise the conversation area. Is there an alternative photo that could be used? It would need to be of a high resolution and ideally support the aim of highlighting the significance of the conservation area.	The purpose of the photograph is to illustrate the conservation area as a whole within its rural setting. Any photograph promoting a more public view would only cover a single aspect of the conservation area and so not be representative of the area as a whole. The front cover photograph has been revised so that it concentrates, as far as is possible, on the properties that lie within the Crookham Village conservation area.
Pages 3 - 11	Introduction and Maps. The maps should have a reference number so that they can be referred to.	Agreed and updated.
Page 10	Page 10 - We would suggest that map of views sits with the accompanying text (current on page 25 onwards), so that they are read together.	This comment has been considered and it is felt that while it may prove a small advantage to move the map nearer to the pages of the document that describe the views, it would have the effect of devaluing the integrity of the maps section. Accordingly, it is felt that improving the cross referencing between the views and the relevant map would provide the same improvement.
Page 10.	Change the caption to ' Significant Trees, Key Views, Footpaths and Listed Buildings' as this seems to be the only map that identifies the footpaths and listed buildings referred to elsewhere.	The caption has been amended. Listed buildings are shown on Map 1 on page 5.

Page Reference	Comment	Response
Page 11	Page 11, 2.1 – The following statement might be stronger rather than the existing bullet points: 'Crookham Village Conservation Area (1982) lies directly to the west of Fleet and just north-west of the Basingstoke Canal. The special character of Crookham Village Conservation Area is due largely to the high number of buildings of special architectural or historic interest, their variety of character, and their relationship to each other, together with the strong linear form of the settlement. The nature of local boundary treatments is mainly comprised of small to medium height hedges and low walls, which combined with the green verges as well as small trees and shrubs framing the buildings, contributes to the rural character of the area. The Crossways is considered a visual focal point within the conservation area. Outside the boundary are expanses of high-grade countryside which is important in terms of views and the setting of the conservation area. The village has strong links to the surrounding countryside with several public footpaths linking the village with the surrounding land'.	
Page 12	3.1 Location and Context. I'm surprised that the Kiln Workshops are not included in the examples of commercial use as they appear to be within the Conservation Area and are in commercial use.	Text updated
Page 12	'Women's Institute' is not shown on the map. Add a note "shown as 'Club' on the map in section 1 / on page nn".	Text amended
Page 13	3.1 Basingstoke Canal. Should there be a map showing how the BC SSSI & CA join with the eastern boundary of the CVCA so the 'green space within this section of the BCCA' can be clearly identified?	The Map originally on page 2 has been updated to show the relative locations of all conservation areas in Crookham Village as well as the local gap. It is now to be found on page 5.
Page 13	Local Gap. I know this isn't a planning term any more, but how are these local gaps now defined as they are not shown on the maps, should there be a reference to the Hart LP?	Map 1 has been updated to include the local gap as designated in CVNP policy NE01 - Gap Between Settlements.
Page 13	3.1 Summary of Special Heritage Interests. Not sure what is meant by 'compact but scattered'?	This refers to the fact that the majority of houses are of a compact nature. These are scattered throughout the current village rather than being clustered together round a focal point such as a village green as is typical in many old villages.
Page 13	'The character and appearance continues' should read 'The character and appearance continue'.	Text amended

Page Reference	Comment	Response
Page 13	I think the part 'functional relationships with the settlement are now primarily recreational, apart from one previously working farm' downplays the agricultural and rural character. Maybe the meaning is that there is no longer a working farmhouse adjacent to its fields. But there is farmland opposite the Exchequer which is in active use, Cross Farm still has sheep on it, the fields behind the Club and towards Dogmersfield are still agricultural. We get tractors up and down Crondall Road all the time, there are horses and sheep in the fields around Seven Steps and fields adjacent to Stroud Lane. As this is section is about heritage interests this is missing the agricultural and industrial heritage of many of the buildings such as the Forge, the Kiln Workshops, Cross Farm house, agricultural workers cottages (e.g. Cross Farm Cottages), the two pubs and other pre-20th century buildings. So I think it would be better just to replace the clause ' although the functional relationships with the settlement are now primarily recreational, apart from one previously working farm' and replace with something like ' and its buildings, many of which have origins in their agricultural and pre-industrial use)'.	The wording has been changed slightly to emphasise the continued rural appearance of the settlement.
Page 14.	4. History. Unnamed circles diagram has no name or reference. Circles diagram. Could you add some punctuation such as a full stop after description and new line for which century(ies). Circles diagram. Inconsistent capitalisation of 'century' and 'Centuries'.	This diagram is the work of Barker-Mills Conservation Consultants who were retained by Crookham Village Parish Council to prepare the conservation area refresh document. Capitalisation is only applicable where the word is used as a noun.
Page 14.	4.1 Summary. Change illustrates' to 'illustrate' as there are multiple subjects in this sentence.	Text amended
Page 14.	4.2 Early History. Is there a reference for the 'Atlas of Hampshire Archaeology'?	This is held by Hampshire County Council and has been added to the sources for the document.
Page 16.	4.5 Eighteenth century. Add 'was' to become 'Construction was delayed and the canal was only completed in 1794.'	Text amended
Page 17.	Reference to green space again needs a reference to make it clear what is being referred to here.	The green space in question is that lying along side the Basingstoke Canal at the eastern edge of the Village beyond FP5. The text has been amended to reflect this.
Page 17.	Not sure you need commas in the paragraph on brickmaking. Or move 'from local clay' into the next sentence thus 'The bricks were produced from local clay and used'	Text amended
Page 17.	4.7 Twentieth and twenty-first centuries. Economic Profile. Is 'relatively-young' a special term or do you just mean relatively young?	Text amended
Page 19 - 22	Page 19-22 – Whilst this section is quite light in content, it is balanced by the detailed descriptions of buildings in the appendix and it does reflect one of the challenges of a historic area that is characterised by a range of periods and styles of buildings. There is a concern that not enough "cohesive character" has been identified to prevent harmful development or alterations, although this may be mitigated by the Article 4. When the character encompasses such varied buildings, such as in Crookham Village, managing change sensitively will be challenging.	This comment is noted. It is agreed that one of the special characteristics of the Crookham Village conservation area is the wide variety of buildings and the eclectic nature of their distribution. As such, it is difficult to see how a greater level of "cohesive character" could be identified. It is agreed that managing change in such circumstances will be challenging.

Page Reference	Comment	Response
Pages 20 - 24	5. Architectural Interest and Built Form. The illustrations in this section are a poor choice as they do not really illustrate very well any Architectural Interest.	The photographs used in the document have been updated. Further photographic evidence added
Page 20.	5. Architectural Interest and Built Form, South Side: What is the 'them' that are much less intrusive?	This refers to parking being less visually intrusive. Text amended to reflect this.
Page 20.	Reference to the former village shop should be more specific as when I first read it I thought it was referring to 'Lesleys' which was also a village shop. There was also a former village shop at Crossways.	Text updated to include "post office". The former village shop at Crossways was Jessets Stores and Bakery which has been included in the photographic evidence for the document.
Page 20.	This property does not seem to have a name? Perhaps refer to it as 'former village shop and post office adjacent to the letter box' as LB is shown on the OS map.	The addition of "post office" makes it clear which property is being referred to in this statement.
Page 20.	I believe we found out during the site inspection for the Cross Farm planning application that the forecourt of the village shop is actually public highway so I'm not sure that the statement that the 'boundary' is open. Perhaps change this to 'the aspect of'.	Text updated to include public highway element of forecourt,.
Page 21	Page 21 f/p 11 Stroud Lane. This was once an ancient highway the start of which runs alongside the river Hart.	The text has been amended to include a reference to this ancient highway.
Page 21	Whilst I might agree, who says the statement 'The traffic signage is unfortunate and could be rationalised'? Should this be in section 9.2?	This is the opinion of the CVPC consultant. The rationalisation of this signage would be covered by the stated opportunity "Traffic island/traffic management including simplification of the junction at the Crossways" in 9.2
Page 23	6. Special Analysis. Caption for Image on page 23. Why is this referred to as a 'formerly' agricultural setting? The field is still used for grazing and for hay-making, so it is still an agricultural setting.	Text amended
Page 24	6.2 Open Spaces. Again the location of the WI Hall needs to be identified.	Text updated
Page 24	What important role does the building play in the settlement? Who says it's important?	Text updated to show role in community
Page 24	Would it be worth mentioning that the WI Hall building replaces a previous WI Hut that is an established presence in the village since <whenever>?.</whenever>	Text updated to incorporate this
Page 24	Should there be a mention of the now decommissioned telephone box?	Text updated to incorporate this
Page 25	Page 25. Is anti tank pimple the correct term for these?	Anti-tank pimples are small flat top pyramidal structures which is consistent with the structures found on Stroud Lane.
Page 25	the south side of The Street adjacent to Curious Explorers' Why is the name of the nursery business being quoted? What if it changes hands? Can just refer to the former post office and shop.	This has been updated to include a reference to the former village shop and post office.
Page 26	6.3 Setting of the Conservation Area and Views. Can some reference, identification or route mapping for the public footpaths to establish where they actually go? e.g. refer to unreferenced map on page 10.	A reference has been added to point to Map 7 which shows the routes of the relevant public footpaths.
Page 26	To the north 'not as picturesque and obviously agricultural', does this mean it is not as obviously agricultural? As it clearly is agricultural. Richard will tell you that the landform and use is historically significant with identifiable land use due to the character of the ground and historically identifiable droveways, particularly north of the village settlement between the village and the new development at The Tump. ("Hareshill").	The agricultural value and use of this land is not disputed, it is just that unless there is a crop in this field - as there was this year - its agricultural use is a little less obvious than the land at Cross Farm which is regularly used for grazing for much of the year. Irrespective of this, it is recognised as a much valued part of the setting for the north side of The Street character area.
Page 26	Add 'and walks' to 'The setting of Crookham Village therefore currently provides views'	Text amended

Page Reference	Comment	Response
Page 26	'domestic outbuildings can be and are very visible.' Remove 'can be and'	Not all domestic outbuildings are visible and so this text refers to the fact that some can
_		be and some are.
Page 27	Page 27- Should specify that 'LEN' refers to List Entry number.	Agreed and updated.
Page 28	7.2 Ages and Architectural Style. One of the listed buildings is a former industrial	Text amended
	structure, the Forge, which' would be better phrased as 'One of the listed	
	buildings (the Forge) is a former industrial structure, which' as it is the type that	
	would be typically found and not that specific building.	
Page 28	Caption for picture of the Forge interior. Replace 'newly' with 'recently'.	Text amended
Page 29	Page 29 The last paragraph stops dead and resumes at the top of page 31. Can	This is a formatting error which has now been corrected.
	the spacing be changed to get the last Para on page 29?	
Page 29	Something has gone wrong with the formatting or structure of the document	This error has been corrected.
	around section 7.where text is missing or carried over into a subsequent section.	
Page 29	Text runs out so something is missing.	Text corrected
Page 3	Page 3 - Red text - 'This appraisal identifies what is of special interest in	The wording used in this sentence is designed to conform with the specific terms used
raye 3		by Historic England which states that "A character appraisal defines the special interest
		of the Conservation Area that merits its designation and describes and evaluates the
	in Grookham village .	contribution made by the different features of its character and appearance".
		Toolian battori made by the ameroni reatures of its orial acter and appearance.
Page 30	Page 30, 7.3 – 'Council's agreed criteria for identifying buildings or features of local	Wording amended.
	importance advises that to be considered as locally important at least two of the	
	following criteria should be met' could be changed to 'Criteria for identifying	
	buildings or features of local importance advises that to be considered as locally	
	important at least two of the following criteria should be met'	
Page 30	7.3 Designation Requirements. Which 'Council'?	Reference to Council now removed.
Page 30	Why do these illustrations appear here - they would be better illustrating the points	These illustrations have been moved nearer to the relevant text
. ugo 00	made in 6.1.	These mastralishe have been moved hearst to the relevant text
Page 30	Why are there two section 7.3's? Where is 7.4??	Text corrected
Page 31	Page 31 "Utilitarian balustrades to the bridge over the river Hart, are less positive.	Agreed - document amended.
		
Page 31		Agreed and text amended
Page 33	Page 33, 9.1 – In terms of the first challenge identified, should this refer to 'the loss	Wording amended.
D 00	of verdant front gardens' to identify the main issue?	
Page 33	On page 33, principal challenges are identified however these don't necessarily	Unfortunately, several of the challenges do not have corresponding opportunities while
		other challenges have several opportunities that could be implemented. The
		opportunities as identified have been re-ordered to assist the ability to read across, as
	would assist the development of a management plan in the future.	far as possible, between the two lists.
Page 33	9.2 Opportunities. Add simplification /reduction of traffic signs or changing them to	This would be considered as part of any management plan for the area if suitable
Page 33	9.2 Opportunities. Add simplification /reduction of traffic signs or changing them to a more sympathetic character or siting.	This would be considered as part of any management plan for the area if suitable funding became available.

Page Reference	Comment	Response
Page 33	I would like to add the restoration of footpaths as many of them are now overgrown by hedging which has been allowed to encroach on the public space, and with additional traffic and parking now makes navigating the pavements hazardous.	While the footpaths permit views into the conservation area, they lie outside its current boundaries and so this opportunity should be considered for action by the parish council as part of normal business or the Lengthsman scheme. (N.B CVPC has already embarked on a scheme to improve the surface of FP 5.)
Page 34	Page 34 Good to include the short part of Crondall road into the plan, should the plan also include the 8 or so houses up to Malthouse bridge and the canal conservation area	A review of the remaining areas of Crookham Village that are not currently included in any of the three existing conservation areas in the Parish will be considered as part of a review of the boundaries of the current Crookham Village Conservation Area by Crookham Village Parish Council. The exact timescale for this work is not known at the present time but is likely to be timed to co-incide with a refresh of the Neighbourhood Plan.
Page 34	9.3 Next Steps. Add restoration of the definition of the local gap between Crookham Village and Dogmersfield to the west of the village in the next update to the Neighbourhood Plan.	This area lies well outside the current boundary of the conservation area and so while it could be considered as part of the Neighbourhood Plan refresh, it cannot form part of the next steps for the conservation area.
Page 34	Why is the dull picture of the estate railings on page 34 where it is?	An alternative and hopefully more interesting photograph of the estate railings in the context of the landscape to the south east of Crondall Road has now been selected and a reference been added to reference this photograph in the relevant text.
Page 35	10. Sources. It would be beneficial to add numbers to these references and to use those reference numbers within the text. of the document when using those sources as reference materials so that they can be followed up / verified.	All the sources and references in the document have been checked and updated to Section 10 of the document.
Page 35	This picture should be used to illustrate the points in section 5 and be referenced in the text.	The picture has now been moved to section 5 and referenced accordingly.
Page 64	Appendix 6. Having underlined links in a document without showing the link source is meaningless except of course in the electronic version of the document.	Resolved.
Page 64	Also it would be helpful if the links could be set to open another browser tab rather than taking you away from the source document.	When the document is opened using an Adobe reader application, the link will open a separate web browser window. This issue relates to local computer settings and would only occur if the pdf document is opened using a web browser. A simple solution is to right click the link for an option to open in a new tab or window.
Page 64	What is SPAB?	Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings - text updated
Page 64	The link to The Gardens Trust is wrong.	Resolved.
Page 64	Why is the Windsor and Eton Society a useful contact? Where is it referenced in the document?	This Society is not referenced in the document but has been included as a useful contact for advice in the protection of Heritage Assets and in particular, non-designated heritage assets.
Page 7.	Map of The Street Character Area 1 showing the historic development of properties. Why are the following buildings not colour-coded as they appear to be within the Conservation Area? Namely: Kiln Workshops, Club, Club (WI), the outbuilding next to Spice Merchant (as it fronts onto The Street), Garage.	The omission has been corrected.
Whole document	Thank you for such a wonderful piece of work.	Thank you for your comment - it is much appreciated

Page Reference	Comment	Response
Whole document	Revision 2 is a very different document than the one reviewed in the autumn. The additional information, maps and images provide a detailed analysis and description of the significance of the conservation area, which, in our review, strengthens the document and greatly assists the reader. There are a few comments that we would like make, but on the whole revision 2 is a much stronger document for use by residents, developers, the Parish Council and Hart District Council.	Thank you for this comment
Whole document	There is some duplication within different sections of the document, which should be fairly simple to remedy.	This comment has been noted and dealt with as far as possible.
Whole document	Generally the document is quite readable.	Thank you for this comment.
Whole document	Personally I find the landscape style questionable although possibly suited for on- screen reading and presentation of the maps it does not suit some of the document content.	The document is configured in accordance with the template supplied by Hart District Council for Conservation Area documents.
Whole document	Although it might be considered useful to have the banners at the top of each page I do not like it as it is not clear where a new section starts. The banner would be a more useful signpost in the document at the start of each section.	The document is configured in accordance with the template supplied by Hart District Council for Conservation Area documents.
Whole document	Section heading styles should be consistent. The numbered section headings	The headings have been reviewed and corrected where necessary
Whole document	Photographs and illustrations seem to be randomly placed throughout the document and are not referenced.	As far as possible, photographs have been moved to the section to which they relate. However, to prevent some sections from being overburdened by an excess of photographic evidence, some photographs have had to be placed elsewhere in the document. Where necessary, these photographs have been referenced in the relevant section of text.
Whole document	Generally all the illustrations, figures and maps should be given a reference number and be adjacent to, or at least referred to, in the text of the document to which they relate.	While all maps have been given references, all the illustrations in the document are fully described and as a result, it is not considered necessary to provide references for all the illustrations in the document. As per the comment above, references to relevant photographic evidence have been included in the text.
Whole document	Similarly I found the lack of referencing disturbing. So many statements are made, particularly in the history and character sections, without any substantiation or provenance. Whilst this document might be regarded as a source document, so much of the content is sourced from elsewhere that I feel it should be referenced back to the source. This is expected in source such as Wikipedia where the provenance of information can be verified and I think this should apply to this document also. These should be added as references to the reference sources at the end, which need to be numbered so they can be referenced.	A list of sources has been provided at the end of the document. This list includes the supporting documents used to prepare the history sections. The character section is mainly the professional opinion of our external consultants, Barker-Mills Conservation Consultants.
Whole document	Some of the document is selectable in Adobe PDF reader and some of it isn't. This is unhelpful.	Now resolved.